Introduction to the Linux Development Model for Hardware Companies

by

Harald Welte hmw-consulting.de

Introduction

Who is speaking to you?

- oan independent Free Software developer, consultant and trainer
- 13 years experience using/deploying and developing for Linux on server and workstation
- 10 years professional experience doing Linux system + kernel level development
- ostrong focus on network security and embedded
- oexpert in Free and Open Source Software (FOSS) copyright and licensing
- Odigital hardware design, esp. embedded systems
- oactive developer and contributor to many FOSS projects
- ocurrently lead system architect (hardware + software) for OpenMoko
- Othus, a techie, who will therefore not have fancy animated slides;)

What is Free Software?

- □ Software that is
 - oavailable in source code
 - ois licensed in a way to allow unlimited distribution
 - oallows modifications, and distribution of modifications
 - ois not freeware, but copyrighted work
 - osubject to license conditions, like any proprietary software
 - OREAD THE LICENSE

What is Open Source?

- OPractically speaking, not much difference
- Remainder of this presentation will use the term FOSS (Free and Open Source Software)

What is the FOSS Community?

- □ Diverse
 - oany individual can contribute
 - ono formal membership required
 - oevery project has it's own culture, rules, ...
- □ International
 - othe internet boasted FOSS development
 - overy common to have developers from all continents closely working together
- □ Evolutionary
 - Odevelopers come and go, as their time permits
 - oprojects evolve over time, based on individual contributions

People / Groups involved

- □ Really depends on size of projects
- □Small projects often a one-man show
- □ Bigger project have groups / subgroups
- □ Common Terms / Definitions
 - Maintainer
 - ▶ The person who formally maintains a project
 - Core Team / Steering Committee
 - PA group of skilled developers who make important decisions
 - Subsystem Maintainer
 - ▷ Somebody who is responsible for a particular sub-project
 - Developer Community
 - ► All developers involved with a project
 - User Community
 - ▷ Users of the software who often share their experience with others

Development Process

- □"Rough concensus and running code"
- □ Decisions made by technically most skilled people
- □ Reputation based hierarchy
- □ Direct Communication between developers
- □Not driven by size of a target market
- □Release early, release often

Motivations

- □gaining reputation (like in the scientific community)
 □(students) gaining development experience with real-world software
- □solving problems that the author encounters on his computer
- □ fighting for Free Software as ideology
- working on exciting technology without having to work at company XYZ
- work in creative environment with skilled people and no managers

FOSS Community likes

- □generic solutions
- □portable code
- □vendor-independent architecture
- □clean code (coding style!)
- □open standards
- □good technical documentation
- □raw hardware, no bundle of hardware and software sold as solution

FOSS Community dislikes

- □monopolistic structures
 - oe.g. intel-centrism
- □ closed 'industry forums' with rediculous fees
 - oe.g. Infiniband, SD Card Association
- □ standard documents that cost rediculous fees
- □NDA's, if they prevent development of FOSS

The Linux Development Model for Hardware Companies Weak Points of FOSS

involved the right way!

When foss is entirely volunteer-driven □often way behind schedule (if there is any) □already too late when projects start ostarted when there already is a real need □often a lack of (good) documentation oprogrammers write code, not enduser docs... □strong in infrastructure, weak in applications otraditionally developers interested in very technical stuff □ Thus, FOSS really improves when organizations/entities get

Windows driver development model

- OMS defines stable APIs and ABIs for drivers and releases SDK (DDK)
- OAll interfaces are specified by a single entity
- OThe interface between driver and OS core is designed as binary interface
- OHardware vendors develop drivers for their hardware component
- OHardware vendors compile and package drivers for their hardware component
- OHardware vendors sell bundle of hardware and software driver (object code)

Linux driver development model

- OA community-driven process creates in-kernel driver API's
- ODrivers are written against those APIs
- Drivers are submitted to the kernel developes for inclusion into the OS source tree
- OBecause all (good) drivers are inside one singe source tree, OS developers can (and will) refine the APIs whenever apropriate
- There are no stable in-kernel API's, and especially no stable in-kernel ABI's
- OLinux development community releases kernel source code
- OHardware vendor sells hardware only. The Windows driver CD is unused.

Linux driver development model

- Without proper support from HW vendor, Most hardware drivers are developed by people inside that community
 - >sadly most of them have no relation to the HW manufacturer
 - beven more sadly, many of them have to work without or with insufficient documentation (reverse engineering)
- •Good HW vendors understand this and support Linux properly!
- Linux is a big market by now
 - ▶ Servers
 - ▶ Embedded devices (est. > 40% of all wifi/dsl router + NAS appliances)
 - Increasingly popular on the Desktop

Linux driver development model, bad case timeline

- OHardware vendor produces and ships hardware
- OUsers end up getting that hardware without any Linux support
- Somebody will start a driver and inquire about HW docs
- OHardware vendor doesn't release docs
- If hardware is popular enough, somebody will start reverse engineering and driver deevlopment
- With some luck, the driver is actually useable or even finished before the HW product is EOL

Linux driver development model, good case timeline #1

- Hardware vendor starts Linux driver development for new HW during HW R&D
- OHardware vendor submits Linux driver for review / inclusion into mainline Linux kernel before HW ships
- OUser installs HW and has immediate support by current Linux kernel
- OHardware vendor publicly releases HW docs when the product ships, or even later
 - ▶ This enables the community to support/integrate the driver with new interfaces
 - ▶ It also enables the community to support hardware post EOL, at a point where the HW vendor

Linux driver development model, good case timeline #2

- Hardware vendor releases HW documentation during HW R&D or no later than the product start shipping
- Somebody in the Linux development community might be interested in writing a driver
 - ▶in his spare time because of technical interest in the HW
 - ▶as a paid contractor by the HW vendor
- Oln such cases it helps if the HW vendor provides free samples to trustworthy developers
- That driver is very likely to get merged mainline

Why submit your code mainline?

- Quantity-wise, most users use some Linux distribution
- Every version of every distribution ships a different Linux kernel version
- OMost end-users are not capable of compiling their own kernel/drives (but way more than you think!)
- OThus,
 - beteaming up with one (or even two, three) Linux distributions only addresses a small segment of the user base
 - be distributing your driver independently (bundled with hardware, ...) in a way that is ready-to-use for end-users is a ton of work and almost impossible to get right
 - by the preferred option, with the least overhead for both user and HW vendor is to merge the driver mainline.

How to submit your code mainline?

- The FOSS code quality requirements are _extremely_ high
- Olt's not a surprise that Linux is generally considered much more stable than competitors
- Code needs to be maintainable
 - ▶Linux supports old hardware ages beyond their EOL
 - ▶Thin of MCA, VLB, Decnet, IPX networking, ...
- Oso unless you respect the development culture, your code is likely to get rejected!
- OPost your driver at the respective mailing lists
- ORelease early, release often
- Opon't hesitate to ask for feedback and suggestions if you are not 100% sure what is the right way to implement a certain feature

What about other FOSS OS's

- OThere are quite a number of other non-Linux FOSS OSs, among them
 - ⊳FreeBSD, OpenBSD, NetBSD, ...
- OThose are not as small as you might think
 - ▶ FreeBSD often used for internet severs (web, mail, ...)
 - ▶ OpenBSD often used in high-security environments
 - ▷ NetBSD a little more prominent in embedded
- So how does this affect a HW manufacturer
 - ▶ In case the OS is used in a targetted market, developing a driver might make sense
 - ▶In most cases, open docuentation is all those projects need
 - ▶In other cases, dual-licensing a driver (GPL+BSD) makes sense so *BSD can use code from the Linux driver

Technical differences

- OIn the MS world, almost all interfaces are MS defined
- OIn the Linux world, Linux is only the OS kernel
- OAll other interfaces are specified by their respective projects
- Often there are many alternatives, e.g. for graphical drivers
 - ▶ X.org project (X11 window server, typical desktop)
 - DirectFB project (popular in embedded devices like TV set-top boxes)

 □ DirectFB project (popular in embedded devices like TV set-top boxes)
 - ▶Qt/Embedded (popular in certain proprietary Linux-based mobile phones)
- OEvery project has it's own culture, including but not limited to
 - ▷ coding style
 - ▶patch submission guidelines
 - ⊳software license
 - ▷ communication methods

- □1. Much more communication
 - Olt's not a consumer/producer model, but cooperative!
 - OBefore you start implementation, talk to project maintainers
 - ▷ It's likely that someone has tried a similar thing before
 - ▷ It's likely that project maintainers have already an idea how to proceed with implementation
 - ▶ Avoid later hazzles when you want your code merged upstream

□2. Interfaces

- Olf there is a standard interface, use it
- Olf insufficient: Don't invent new interfaces, try to extend existing ones
- Olf there is an existing interface in a later (e.g. development) release upstream, backport that interface
- ODon't be afraid to touch API's if they're inefficient
 - ▶ Remember, you have the source and _can_ change them

Practical Rules

- □3. Merge your code upstream
 - Olnitially you basically have to create a fork
 - ODevelopment of upsteram project continues sometimes at high speed
 - Olf you keep it out of tree for too long time, conflicts arise
 - Submissions might get rejected in the first round
 - ▶Cleanups needed, in coordination with upstream project
 - Code will eventually get merged
 - No further maintainance needed for synchronization between your contribution and the ongoing upstream development
 - Opon't be surprised if your code won't be accepted if you didn't discuss it with maintainers upfront and they don't like your implementation

- □4. Write portable code
 - Odon't assume you're on 32bit CPU
 - Odon't assume you're on little endian
 - oif you use assembly optimized code, put it in a self-contained module

- □5. Binary-only software will not be accepted
 - Oyes, there are corner cases like FCC regulation on softradios
 - Obut as a general rule of thumb, the community will not consider object code as a solution to any problem

- □6. Avoid fancy business models
 - Olf you ship the same hardware with two different drivers (half featured and full-featured), any free software will likely make full features available on that hardware.

Practical Rules

- □7. Show your support for the Community
 - OBy visibly contributing to the project
 - ▶ discussions
 - ⊳code
 - ⊳equipment
 - OBy funding developer meetings
 - OBy making rebated hardware offers to developers
 - OBy contracting / sponsoring / hiring developers from the community

Thanks for your Attention